



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,055	04/18/2001	Masahide Hirasawa	B208-1132	9180
26272	7590	09/15/2008		
COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C.			EXAMINER	
JOHN J TORRENTE			SHAW, PELING ANDY	
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS				
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008 PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/837,055

**Applicant(s)**

HIRASAWA, MASAHIRO

**Examiner**

PELING A. SHAW

**Art Unit**

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PELING A. SHAW.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John J. Torrente (reg. no. 26,359).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Seong (US 6785720 B1) and Johnson et al. (US 5584039 A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has presented what is the key elements in the submission filed on 06/30/2008, particularly the usage of "node ID" vs. the usage of "unique ID of the device" as per Seong for security feature of current application. Examiner will take into the consideration of applicant's presentation during the formal examination on this submission.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Peling A Shaw/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2144